

ARKANSAS SUPREME COURT  
COMMITTEE ON PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

NOTICE OF SUSPENSION OF ATTORNEY'S  
PRIVILEGE TO PRACTICE LAW

IN RE: WILLIAM JEFFERSON CLINTON  
ARKANSAS BAR ID #73019

Attorney William Jefferson Clinton, an attorney residing in the State of New York, Bar ID #73019 has been suspended from the practice of law within the jurisdiction of this State for violation of Model Rule 8.4(d) of the Arkansas Model Rules of Professional Conduct. The Agreed Order of Discipline filed of record with the Pulaski County Circuit Court reflects that Mr. Clinton admitted to giving knowingly evasive and misleading discovery responses concerning his relationship with Monica Lewinsky, in violation of Judge Susan Weber Wright's discovery orders in the case of Jones v. Clinton, No. LR-C-94-290 (E.D. Ark.) The Agreed Order of Discipline also reflects that William Jefferson Clinton's Arkansas Attorney's License has been suspended for a period of five (5) years effective January 19, 2001.

Please be advised that a suspended attorney shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in this State until the Arkansas Supreme Court has received an affirmative vote by a majority of the Committee. If, and at such time as the Committee may reinstate the attorney, you will be provided notice of the reinstatement and the effective date thereof.

If you have any questions in this regard or you have information evincing the attorney's continued practice contrary to the status of his license, please contact this office.



Original signed by

Nancie M. Givens, Senior Staff Attorney

---

**FILED**

FEB 21 2001

**LESLIE W. STEEN  
CLERK**

FILED

2001 JAN 19 PM 1:58

SYN STALEY  
CIRCUIT COUNTY CLERK

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF PULASKI COUNTY, ARKANSAS  
FIFTH DIVISION**

**JAMES A. NEAL, AS EXECUTIVE  
DIRECTOR OF THE ARKANSAS  
SUPREME COURT COMMITTEE ON  
PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT**

**PLAINTIFF**

**VS.**

**NO. CIV 2000-5677**

**WILLIAM JEFFERSON CLINTON**

**DEFENDANT**

**AGREED ORDER OF DISCIPLINE**

Come now the parties hereto and agree to the following Order of this Court in settlement of the pending action:

The formal charges of misconduct upon which this Order is based arose out of information referred to the Committee on Professional Conduct ("the Committee") by the Honorable Susan Webber Wright, Chief United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Arkansas. The information pertained to William Jefferson Clinton's deposition testimony in a civil case brought by Ms. Paula Jones in which he was a defendant, Jones v. Clinton, No. LR-C-94-290 (E.D. Ark.).

Mr. Clinton was admitted to the Arkansas bar on September 7, 1973. On June 30, 1990, he requested that his Arkansas license be placed on inactive status for continuing legal education purposes, and this request was granted. The conduct at issue here does not arise out of Mr. Clinton's practice of law. At all times material to this case, Mr. Clinton resided in Washington, D.C., but he remained subject to the Model Rules of Professional Conduct for the State of Arkansas.

On April 1, 1998, Judge Wright granted summary judgment to Mr. Clinton, but she subsequently found him in Civil contempt in a 32-page Memorandum Opinion and Order (the

"Order") issued on April 12, 1999, ruling that he had "deliberately violated this Court's discovery orders and thereby undermined the integrity of the judicial system." Order, at 31. Judge Wright found that Mr. Clinton had "responded to plaintiff's questions by giving false, misleading and evasive answers that were designed to obstruct the judicial process .... [concerning] whether he and Ms. [Monica] Lewinsky had ever been alone together and whether he had ever engaged in sexual relations with Ms. Lewinsky." Order, at 16 (footnote omitted). Judge Wright offered Mr. Clinton a hearing, which he declined by a letter from his counsel, dated May 7, 1999. Mr. Clinton was subsequently ordered to pay, and did pay, over \$90,000, pursuant to the Court's contempt findings. Judge Wright also referred the matter to the Committee "for review and any action it deems appropriate." Order, at 32.

Mr. Clinton's actions which are the subject of this Agreed Order have subjected him to a great deal of public criticism. Twice elected President of the United States, he became only the second President ever impeached and tried by the Senate, where he was acquitted. After Ms. Jones took an appeal of the dismissal of her case, Mr. Clinton settled with her for \$850,000, a sum greater than her initial ad damnum in her complaint. As already indicated, Mr. Clinton was held in civil contempt and fined over \$90,000.

Prior to Judge Wright's referral, Mr. Clinton had no prior disciplinary record with the Committee, including any private warnings. He had been a member in good standing of the Arkansas Bar for over twenty-five years. He has cooperated fully with the Committee in its investigation of this matter and has furnished information to the Committee in a timely fashion.

Mr. Clinton's conduct, as described in the Order, caused the court and counsel for the parties to expend unnecessary time, effort, and resources. It set a poor example for other litigants, and this

damaging effect was magnified by the fact that at the time of his deposition testimony, Mr. Clinton was serving as President of the United States.

Judge Wright ruled that the testimony concerning Ms. Lewinsky "was not essential to the core issues in this case and, in fact, that some of this evidence might even be inadmissible ...." Jones v. Clinton, 993 F. Supp. 1217, 1219 (E.D. Ark. 1998). Judge Wright dismissed the case on the merits by granting Mr. Clinton summary judgment, declaring that the case was "lacking in merit -- a decision that would not have changed even had the President been truthful with respect to his relationship with Ms. Lewinsky." Order, at 24-25 (footnote omitted). As Judge Wright also observed, as a result of Mr. Clinton's paying \$850,000 in settlement, "plaintiff was made whole, having agreed to a settlement in excess of that prayed for in the complaint." Order, at 13. Mr. Clinton also paid to plaintiff \$89,484 as the "reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, caused by his willful failure to obey the Court's discovery orders." Order, at 31; Jones v. Clinton, 57 F. Supp.2d 719, 729 (E.D. Ark. 1999).

On May 22, 2000, after receiving complaints from Judge Wright and the Southeastern Legal Foundation, the Committee voted to initiate disbarment proceedings against Mr. Clinton. On June 30, 2000, counsel for the Committee filed a complaint seeking disbarment in Pulaski County Circuit Court, Neal v. Clinton, Civ. No.2000-5677. Mr. Clinton filed an answer on August 29, 2000, and the case is in the early stages of discovery.

In this Agreed Order Mr. Clinton admits and acknowledges, and the Court, therefore, finds that:

A. That he knowingly gave evasive and misleading answers, in violation of Judge Wright's discovery orders, concerning his relationship with Ms. Lewinsky, in an attempt to conceal from plaintiff Jones' lawyers the true facts about his improper relationship with Ms. Lewinsky, which had

ended almost a year earlier.

B. That by knowingly giving evasive and misleading answers, in violation of Judge Wright's discovery orders, he engaged in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice in that his discovery responses interfered with the conduct of the Jones case by causing the court and counsel for the parties to expend unnecessary time, effort, and resources, setting a poor example for other litigants, and causing the court to issue a thirty-two page Order civilly sanctioning Mr. Clinton.

Upon consideration of the proposed Agreed Order, the entire record before the Court, the advice of counsel, and the Arkansas Model Rules of Professional Conduct (the "Model Rules"), the Court finds:

1. That Mr. Clinton's conduct, heretofore set forth, in the Jones case violated Model Rule 8.4(d), when he gave knowingly evasive and misleading discovery responses concerning his relationship with Ms. Lewinsky, in violation of Judge Wright's discovery orders. Model Rule 8.4(d) states that it is professional misconduct for a lawyer to "engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice."

WHEREFORE, it is the decision and order of this Court that William Jefferson Clinton, Arkansas Bar ID #73019, be, and hereby is, SUSPENDED for FIVE YEARS for his conduct in this matter, and the payment of fine in the amount of \$ 25,000. The suspension shall become effective as of the date of January 19, 2001.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

William Elrod Ragon  
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE  
January 19, 2001  
DATE

ACCEPTED AND ACKNOWLEDGED:

*William J. Clinton*

William Jefferson Clinton  
Arkansas Bar ID #73019  
Date: Jan. 19, 2001

APPROVED BY:

*David E. Kendall*

DAVID E. KENDALL  
Attorney for Defendant

*Stephen Engstrom*

STEPHEN ENGSTROM  
Attorney for Defendant

*Marie Bernarde Miller*

MARIE-BERNADE MILLER  
Attorney for Plaintiff

*Lynn Williams*

LYNN WILLIAMS  
Attorney for Plaintiff